arXiv:math/0509239v2 [math.CO] 16 Oct 2005

A maj-inv BIJECTION FOR $C_2 \wr A_n$

DAN BERNSTEIN

Abstract. We give a bijective proof of the MacMahon-type equidistribution over the group of signed even permutations $C_2 \wr A_n$ that was stated in [Bernstein. Electron. J. Combin. 11 (2004) 83]. This is done by generalizing the bijection that was introduced in the bijective proof of the equidistribution over the alternating group A_n in [Bernstein and Regev. Sém. Lothar. Combin. 53 (2005) B53b].

1. Introduction

In [Mac13] MacMahon proved that two *permutation statistics*, namely the *length* (or *inversion number*) and the *major index*, are equidistributed over the symmetric group S_n for every $n > 0$ (see also [Mac16]). The question of finding a bijective proof of this remarkable fact arose naturally. That open problem was finally solved by Foata [Foa68], who gave a canonical bijection on S_n, for each n, that maps one statistic to the other. In [FS78], Foata and Schützenberger proved a refinement by *inverse descent classes* of MacMahon's theorem. The theorem has received many additional refinements and generalizations, including [Car54, Car75, GG79, Rei93, Kra95, AR01, RR04b, RR05, Sta05].

In [ABR01], Adin, Brenti and Roichman gave an analogue of MacMahon's theorem for the group of signed permutations $B_n = C_2 \wr S_n$. A refinement of that result by inverse descent classes appeared in [ABR05], and a bijective proof was given in [FH05]. These results are the "signed" analogues of MacMahon's theorem, its refinement by Foata and Schützenberger and Foata's bijection, respectively.

The MacMahon equidistribution does not hold when the S_n statistics are restricted to the alternating subgroups $A_n \subset S_n$. However, in [RR04a], Regev and Roichman defined the ℓ_A (*A-length*), rmaj_{A_n} (*alternating reverse major index*) and del_A (*A-delent number*) statistics on A_n, and proved the following refined analogue of MacMahon's theorem:

Theorem 1.1 (see [RR04a, Theorem 6.1(2)]). *For every $n > 0$,*

$$\sum_{w \in A_{n+1}} q^{\ell_A(w)} t^{\mathrm{del}_A(w)} = \sum_{w \in A_{n+1}} q^{\mathrm{rmaj}_{A_{n+1}}(w)} t^{\mathrm{del}_A(w)}$$
$$= (1+2qt)(1+q+2q^2t)\cdots(1+q+\cdots+q^{n-2}+2q^{n-1}t).$$

A bijective proof was later given in [BR05] in the form of a mapping $\Psi : A_{n+1} \to A_{n+1}$ with the following properties.

Theorem 1.2 (see [BR05, Theorem 5.8]).
(1) *The mapping Ψ is a bijection of A_{n+1} onto itself.*
(2) *For every $v \in A_{n+1}$, $\mathrm{rmaj}_{A_{n+1}}(v) = \ell_A(\Psi(v))$.*

Date: September 11, 2005.

(3) *For every* $v \in A_{n+1}$, $\mathrm{del}_A(v) = \mathrm{del}_A(\Psi(v))$.

A "signed" analogue of the equidistribution over A_n was given in [Ber04] by defining the ℓ_L (*L-length*) and nrmaj_{L_n} (*negative alternating reverse major index*) statistics on the group of signed even permutations $L_n = C_2 \wr A_n \subset B_n$ and proving the following.

Proposition 1.3 (see [Ber04, Proposition 4.1])**.** *For every* $B \subseteq [n+1]$

$$\sum_{\{\, \pi \in L_{n+1} \mid \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B \,\}} q^{\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi)} = \sum_{\{\, \pi \in L_{n+1} \mid \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B \,\}} q^{\ell_L(\pi)}$$
$$= \prod_{i \in B} (1 + q^i) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{i-1} + 2q^i),$$

where $\mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) = \{\, -\pi(i) \mid 1 \le i \le n+1,\ \pi(i) < 0 \,\}$.

The main result in this note is a bijective proof of Proposition 1.3. It is accomplished by defining a mapping $\Theta : L_{n+1} \to L_{n+1}$ for every $n > 0$ and proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4 (see Theorem 4.2)**.** *The mapping* Θ *is a bijection of* L_{n+1} *onto itself, and for every* $\pi \in L_{n+1}$, $\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) = \ell_L(\Theta(\pi))$ *and* $\mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}(\Theta(\pi)^{-1})$.

The rest of this note is organized as follows: in Section 2 we introduce some definitions and notations and give necessary background. In Section 3 we review the definition of the bijection Ψ and the Main Lemma of [Ber04], which gives a unique decomposition of elements of L_n. In Section 4 we define the bijection Θ and prove the main result.

2. BACKGROUND AND NOTATION

2.1. **Notation.** For an integer $a \ge 0$, let $[a] = \{1, 2, \ldots, a\}$ (where $[0] = \emptyset$). Let C_k be the cyclic group of order k, let S_n be the symmetric group acting on $1, \ldots, n$, and let $A_n \subset S_n$ denote the alternating group.

2.2. **The symmetric group.** Recall that S_n is a Coxeter group of type A, its Coxeter generators being the adjacent transpositions $\{\, s_i \,\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ where $s_i := (i, i+1)$. The defining relations are the Moore-Coxeter relations:

$$\begin{aligned} s_i^2 &= 1 \quad (1 \le i \le n-1), \\ (s_i s_{i+1})^3 &= 1 \quad (1 \le i < n-1), \\ (s_i s_j)^2 &= 1 \quad (|i-j| > 1). \end{aligned}$$

For every $j > 0$, let

$$R_j^S = \{1,\, s_j,\, s_j s_{j-1},\, \ldots,\, s_j s_{j-1} \cdots s_1\} \subseteq S_{j+1}.$$

Recall the following fact.

Theorem 2.1 (see [Gol93, pp. 61–62])**.** *Let* $w \in S_n$. *Then there exist unique elements* $w_j \in R_j^S$, $1 \le j \le n-1$, *such that* $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$. *Thus, the presentation* $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$ *is unique. Call that presentation* the S-canonical presentation of w.

2.3. **The hyperoctahedral group.** *The hyperoctahedral group* $B_n := C_2 \wr S_n$ is the group of all bijections σ of $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm n\}$ to itself satisfying $\sigma(-i) = -\sigma(i)$, with function composition as the group operation. It is also known as the group of *signed permutations*.

For $\sigma \in B_n$, we shall use *window notation*, writing $\sigma = [\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n]$ to mean that $\sigma(i) = \sigma_i$ for $i \in [n]$, and let $\mathrm{Neg}(\sigma) := \{\, i \in [n] \mid \sigma(i) < 0 \,\}$.

B_n is a Coxeter group of type B, generated by $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ together with an exceptional generator $s_0 := [-1, 2, 3, \ldots, n]$ (see [BB05, Section 8.1]). In addition to the above relations between $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$, we have: $s_0^2 = 1$, $(s_0 s_1)^4 = 1$, and $s_0 s_i = s_i s_0$ for all $1 < i < n$.

2.4. **The alternating group.** Let $a_i := s_1 s_{i+1}$, $1 \le i \le n-1$. Then the set $A = \{\, a_i \,\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ generates the alternating group A_{n+1}. This generating set comes from [Mit01], where it is shown that the generators satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^3 &= 1, \\ a_i^2 &= 1 \quad (1 < i \le n-1), \\ (a_i a_{i+1})^3 &= 1 \quad (1 \le i < n-1), \\ (a_i a_j)^2 &= 1 \quad (|i-j| > 1) \end{aligned}$$

(see [Mit01, Proposition 2.5]).

For every $j > 0$, let

$$R_j^A = \{1,\, a_j,\, a_j a_{j-1},\, \ldots,\, a_j \cdots a_2,\, a_j \cdots a_2 a_1,\, a_j \cdots a_2 a_1^{-1}\} \subseteq A_{j+2}$$

(for example, $R_3^A = \{1, a_3, a_3a_2, a_3a_2a_1, a_3a_2a_1^{-1}\}$). One has the following

Theorem 2.2 (see [RR04a, Theorem 3.4]). *Let $v \in A_{n+1}$. Then there exist unique elements $v_j \in R_j^A$, $1 \le j \le n-1$, such that $v = v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}$, and this presentation is unique. Call that presentation* the A-canonical presentation of v.

2.5. **The group of signed even permutations.** Our main result concerns the group $L_n := C_2 \wr A_n$. It is the subgroup of B_n of index 2 containing the *signed even permutations*.

For a more detailed discussion of L_n, see [Ber04, Section 3]

2.6. B_n**,** A_{n+1} **and** L_{n+1} **statistics.** Let $r = x_1 x_2 \ldots x_m$ be an m-letter word on a linearly-ordered alphabet X. The *inversion number* of r is defined as

$$\mathrm{inv}(r) := \#\{\, 1 \le i < j \le m \mid x_i > x_j \,\},$$

its *descent set* is defined as

$$\mathrm{Des}(r) := \{\, 1 \le i < m \mid x_i > x_{i+1} \,\},$$

and its *descent number* as

$$\mathrm{des}(r) := |\mathrm{Des}(r)|.$$

For example, with $X = \mathbb{Z}$ with the usual order on the integers, if $r = 3, -4, 2, 1, 5, -6$, then $\mathrm{inv}(r) = 8$, $\mathrm{Des}(r) = \{1,\, 3,\, 5\}$ and $\mathrm{des}(r) = 3$.

It is well known that if $w \in S_n$ then $\mathrm{inv}(w) = \ell_S(w)$, where $\ell_S(w)$ is the *length* of w with respect to the Coxeter generators of S_n, and that $\mathrm{Des}(w) = \mathrm{Des}_S(w) := \{\, 1 \le i < n \mid \ell_S(ws_i) < \ell_S(w) \,\}$, which is the descent set of w in the Coxeter sense.

Define the *B-length* of $\sigma \in B_n$ in the usual way, i.e., $\ell_B(\sigma)$ is the length of σ with respect to the Coxeter generators of B_n.

The B-length can be computed in a combinatorial way as

$$\ell_B(\sigma) = \mathrm{inv}(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i$$

(see, for example, [BB05, Section 8.1]).

Given $\sigma \in B_n$, the *B-delent number* of σ, $\mathrm{del}_B(\sigma)$, is defined as the number of left-to-right minima in σ, namely

$$\mathrm{del}_B(\sigma) := \#\{\, 2 \leq j \leq n \mid \sigma(i) > \sigma(j) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < j \,\}.$$

For example, the left-to-right minima of $\sigma = [5,\ -1,\ 2,\ -3,\ 4]$ are $\{2,\ 4\}$, so $\mathrm{del}_B(\sigma) = 2$.

The *A-length* statistic on A_{n+1} was defined in [RR04a] as the length of the A-canonical presentation. Given $v \in A_{n+1}$, $\ell_A(v)$ can be computed directly as

$$\ell_A(v) = \ell_S(v) - \mathrm{del}_S(v) = \mathrm{inv}(v) - \mathrm{del}_B(v) \tag{1}$$

(see [RR04a, Proposition 4.4]).

Definition 2.3 (see [Ber04, Definition 3.15])**.** Let $\sigma \in B_n$. Define the *L-length of* σ by

$$\ell_L(\sigma) = \ell_B(\sigma) - \mathrm{del}_B(\sigma) = \mathrm{inv}(\sigma) - \mathrm{del}_B(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i.$$

Given $\pi \in L_{n+1}$, let

$$\mathrm{Des}_A(\pi) := \{\, 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \mid \ell_L(\pi a_i) \leq \ell_L(\pi) \,\},$$

$$\mathrm{rmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) := \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Des}_A(\pi)} (n-i),$$

and

$$\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) := \mathrm{rmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i.$$

For example, if $\pi = [5, -1, 2, -3, 4]$ then $\mathrm{Des}_A(\pi) = \{1, 2\}$, $\mathrm{rmaj}_{L_5}(\pi) = 5$, and $\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_5}(\pi) = 5 + 1 + 3 = 9$.

Remark 2.4. Restricted to A_{n+1}, the $\mathrm{rmaj}_{L_{n+1}}$ statistic coincides with the $\mathrm{rmaj}_{A_{n+1}}$ statistic as defined in [RR04a] and used in Theorem 1.2.

3. THE BIJECTION Ψ AND THE DECOMPOSITION LEMMA

3.1. **The Foata bijection.** The *second fundamental transformation on words* Φ was introduced in [Foa68] (for a full description, see [Lot83, Section 10.6]). It is defined on any finite word $r = x_1 x_2 \ldots x_m$ whose letters $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ belong to a totally ordered alphabet. Instead of the original recursive definition, we give the algorithmic description of Φ from [FS78].

Algorithm 3.1 (Φ)**.** Let $r = x_1 x_2 \ldots x_m$;

1. Let $i := 1$, $r'_i := x_1$;
2. If $i = m$, let $\Phi(r) := r'_i$ and stop; else continue;
3. If the last letter of r'_i is less than or equal to (respectively greater than) x_{i+1}, cut r'_i after every letter less than or equal to (respectively greater than) x_{i+1} ;
4. In each compartment of r'_i determined by the previous cuts, move the last letter in the compartment to the beginning of it; let t'_i be the word obtained after all those moves; put $r'_{i+1} := t'_i \, x_{i+1}$; replace i by $i + 1$ and go to step 2.

3.2. **The covering map f and its local inverses g_u.** Recall the S- and A-canonical presentations from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. The following *covering map* f, which plays an important role in the construction of the bijection Ψ, relates between S_n and A_{n+1} by canonical presentations.

Definition 3.2 (see [RR04a, Definition 5.1])**.** Define $f : R_j^A \to R_j^S$ by

(1) $f(a_j a_{j-1} \cdots a_\ell) = s_j s_{j-1} \cdots s_\ell$ if $\ell \geq 2$, and
(2) $f(a_j \cdots a_1) = f(a_j \cdots a_1^{-1}) = s_j \cdots s_1$.

Now extend $f : A_{n+1} \to S_n$ as follows: let $v \in A_{n+1}$, $v = v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}$ its A-canonical presentation, then

$$f(v) := f(v_1) \cdots f(v_{n-1}),$$

which is clearly the S-canonical presentation of $f(v)$.

In other words, given $v \in A_{n+1}$ in canonical presentation $v = a_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} a_{i_2}^{\epsilon_2} \cdots a_{i_r}^{\epsilon_r}$, we obtain $f(v)$ simply by replacing each a by an s (and deleting the exponents): $f(v) = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_r}$.

The following maps serve as "local inverses" of f.

Definition 3.3. For $u \in A_{n+1}$ with A-canonical presentation $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{n-1}$, define $g_u : R_j^S \to R_j^A$ by

$$g_u(s_j s_{j-1} \cdots s_\ell) = a_j a_{j-1} \cdots a_\ell \quad \text{if } \ell \geq 2, \text{ and } \quad g_u(s_j s_{j-1} \cdots s_1) = u_j.$$

Now extend $g_u : S_n \to A_{n+1}$ as follows: let $w \in S_n$, $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$ its S-canonical presentation, then

$$g_u(w) := g_u(w_1) \cdots g_u(w_{n-1}),$$

which is clearly the A-canonical presentation of $g_u(w)$.

3.3. **The bijection Ψ.** Let $w = x_1 x_2 \ldots x_m$ be an m-letter word on some alphabet X. Denote the *reverse* of w by $\mathbf{r}(w) := x_m x_{m-1} \ldots x_1$, and let $\overleftarrow{\Phi} := \mathbf{r}\Phi\mathbf{r}$, the *right-to-left Foata transformation.*

Definition 3.4. Define $\Psi : A_{n+1} \to A_{n+1}$ by $\Psi(v) = g_v(\overleftarrow{\Phi}(f(v)))$.

That is, the image of v under Ψ is obtained by applying $\overleftarrow{\Phi}$ to $f(v)$ in S_n, then using g_v as an "inverse" of f in order to "lift" the result back to A_{n+1}.

Some of the key properties of Ψ are given in Theorem 1.2.

3.4. **The decomposition lemma.**

Definition 3.5. Let $r = x_1 \ldots x_m$ be an m-letter word on a linearly-ordered alphabet X. Define *sort*(r) to be the non-decreasing word with the letters of r.

For example, with $X = \mathbb{Z}$ with the usual order on the integers,
sort$(-4, 2, 3, -5, 1, 2) = -5, -4, 1, 2, 2, 3$.

Definition 3.6. For $\pi \in L_{n+1}$, define $s(\pi) \in L_{n+1}$ by

$$s(\pi) = \begin{cases} sort(\pi), & \text{if } \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i \text{ is even;} \\ sort(\pi) s_1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following lemma gives a unique decomposition of every element in L_n into a descent-free factor and a signless even factor.

Lemma 3.7. *For every* $\pi \in L_{n+1}$*, the only* $\sigma \in L_{n+1}$ *such that* $\sigma^{-1}\pi \in A_{n+1}$ *and* $\mathrm{des}_A(\sigma) = 0$ *is* $\sigma = s(\pi)$*. Moreover,* $\sigma = s(\pi)$ *and* $u = \sigma^{-1}\pi$ *satisfy* $\mathrm{Des}_A(u) = \mathrm{Des}_A(\pi)$*,* $\mathrm{inv}(u) - \mathrm{del}_B(u) = \mathrm{inv}(\pi) - \mathrm{del}_B(\pi)$*, and* $\mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})$*.*

See [Ber04, Lemma 4.6] for the proof.

Corollary 3.8. *If* $\sigma \in L_{n+1}$ *and* $\mathrm{des}_A(\sigma) = 0$*, then for every* $u \in A_{n+1}$*,* $s(\sigma u) = \sigma$*.*

4. The main result

Definition 4.1. Define $\Theta : L_{n+1} \to L_{n+1}$ for each $n > 0$ by

$$\Theta(\pi) = s(\pi)\Psi(s(\pi)^{-1}\pi).$$

Theorem 4.2. *The mapping* Θ *is a bijection of* L_{n+1} *onto itself, and for every* $\pi \in L_{n+1}$*,* $\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) = \ell_L(\Theta(\pi))$ *and* $\mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}(\Theta(\pi)^{-1})$*.*

Example 4.3. As an example, let $\pi = [3, -6, -4, 5, 2, -1] \in L_6$. We have $\mathrm{Des}_A(\pi) = \{1, 3, 4\}$ and therefore $\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_6}(\pi) = 4 + 2 + 1 + 6 + 4 + 1 = 18$. Since $\sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i = 11$ is odd, we have $\sigma := s(\pi) = \mathit{sort}(\pi)s_1 = [-4, -6, -1, 2, 3, 5]$ and $u := \sigma^{-1}\pi = [5, 2, 1, 6, 4, 3]$. One can verify that the A-canonical presentation of u is $u = (1)(a_2)(a_3a_2a_1^{-1})(a_4a_3)$, so $f(u) = (1)(s_2)(s_3s_2s_1)(s_4s_3) = [4, 1, 5, 3, 2]$. Next we compute $\overleftarrow{\Phi}(f(u))$ as follows: $r := \mathbf{r}(f(u)) = [2, 3, 5, 1, 4]$. Applying Algorithm 3.1 to r we get

$$\begin{aligned} r'_1 &= 2 \mid \\ r'_2 &= 2 \mid 3 \mid \\ r'_3 &= 2 \mid 3 \mid 5 \mid \\ r'_4 &= 2 \mid 3 \mid 5 \quad 1 \mid \\ \Phi(r) = r'_5 &= 2 \quad 3 \quad 1 \quad 5 \quad 4 \quad , \end{aligned}$$

so $v := \overleftarrow{\Phi}(f(u)) = [4, 5, 1, 3, 2]$, whose S-canonical presentation is $v = (1)(s_2)(s_3s_2s_1)(s_4s_3s_2)$. Therefore $\Psi(u) = g_u(v) = (1)(a_2)(a_3a_2a_1^{-1})(a_4a_3a_2) = [2, 5, 6, 1, 4, 3]$. Finally, $\Theta(\pi) = \sigma\Psi(u) = [-6, 3, 5, -4, 2, -1]$, and indeed $\ell_L(\Theta(\pi)) = 7 - 0 + 11 = 18 = \mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_6}(\pi)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. The bijectivity of Θ follows from the bijectivity of Ψ together with Corollary 3.8.

Let $\pi \in L_{n+1}$, $\sigma = s(\pi)$ and $u = \sigma^{-1}\pi$. By Definition 2.3,

$$\ell_L(\Theta(\pi)) = \ell_L(\sigma\Psi(u)) = \mathrm{inv}(\sigma\Psi(u)) - \mathrm{del}_B(\sigma\Psi(u)) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}((\sigma\Psi(u))^{-1})} i.$$

By Corollary 3.8 and Lemma 3.7,

$$\mathrm{inv}(\sigma\Psi(u)) - \mathrm{del}_B(\sigma\Psi(u)) = \mathrm{inv}(\Psi(u)) - \mathrm{del}_B(\Psi(u))$$

and

$$\mathrm{Neg}((\sigma\Psi(u))^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}),$$

so

$$\ell_L(\Theta(\pi)) = \mathrm{inv}(\Psi(u)) - \mathrm{del}_B(\Psi(u)) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i.$$

By identity (1) and Theorem 1.2,

$$\mathrm{inv}(\Psi(u)) - \mathrm{del}_B(\Psi(u)) = \ell_A(\Psi(u)) = \mathrm{rmaj}_{A_{n+1}}(u) = \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Des}_A(u)} i.$$

Again by Lemma 3.7, $\mathrm{Des}_A(u) = \mathrm{Des}_A(\pi)$, whence by Remark 2.4, $\mathrm{rmaj}_{A_{n+1}}(u) = \mathrm{rmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi)$. Thus

$$\ell_L(\Theta(\pi)) = \mathrm{rmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i = \mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi). \qquad \square$$

References

[ABR01] Ron M. Adin, Francesco Brenti, and Yuval Roichman. Descent numbers and major indices for the hyperoctahedral group. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 27(2-3):210–224, 2001.

[ABR05] Ron M. Adin, Francesco Brenti, and Yuval Roichman. Equi-distribution overs descent classes of the hyperoctahedral group. arXiv:`math.CO/0508362`, 2005. 23 pp. to appear in J. Combin. Theory (Ser. A).

[AR01] Ron M. Adin and Yuval Roichman. The flag major index and group actions on polynomial rings. *European J. Combin.*, 22(4):431–446, 2001.

[BB05] Anders Björner and Francesco Brenti. *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*, volume 231 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2005.

[Ber04] Dan Bernstein. MacMahon-type identities for signed even permutations. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 11:Research Paper 83, 18 pp. (electronic), 2004.

[BR05] Dan Bernstein and Amitai Regev. A Foata bijection for the alternating group and for q-analogues. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, 53:Art. B53b, 16 pp. (electronic), 2005.

[Car54] L. Carlitz. q-Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 76:332–350, 1954.

[Car75] Leonard Carlitz. A combinatorial property of q-Eulerian numbers. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 82:51–54, January 1975.

[FH05] Dominique Foata and Guo-Niu Han. Signed words and permutations, I; A fundamental transformation. `http://www-irma.u-strasbg.fr/ foata/paper/pub92.html`, 2005. 10 pp. to appear in Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2006.

[Foa68] Dominique Foata. On the Netto inversion number of a sequence. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19:236–240, 1968.

[FS78] Dominique Foata and Marcel-Paul Schützenberger. Major index and inversion number of permutations. *Math. Nachr.*, 83:143–159, 1978.

[GG79] A. M. Garsia and I. Gessel. Permutation statistics and partitions. *Adv. in Math.*, 31(3):288–305, 1979.

[Gol93] David M. Goldschmidt. *Group characters, symmetric functions, and the Hecke algebra*, volume 4 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.

[Kra95] C. Krattenthaler. The major counting of nonintersecting lattice paths and generating functions for tableaux. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 115(552):vi+109, 1995.

[Lot83] M. Lothaire. *Combinatorics on words*, volume 17 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1983.

[Mac13] Percy A. MacMahon. The indices of permutations and the derivation therefrom of functions of a single variable assicatied with the permutatios of any assemblage of objects. *Amer. J. Math.*, 35:281–322, 1913.

[Mac16] Percy A. MacMahon. *Combinatory analysis*, volume 1–2. Cambridge Univ. Press, London and New York, 1916. (Reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1960).

[Mit01] Hideo Mitsuhashi. The q-analogue of the alternating group and its representations. *J. Algebra*, 240(2):535–558, 2001.

[Rei93] Victor Reiner. Signed permutation statistics. *European J. Combin.*, 14(6):553–567, 1993.

[RR04a] Amitai Regev and Yuval Roichman. Permutation statistics on the alternating group. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 33(4):676–709, 2004.

[RR04b] Amitai Regev and Yuval Roichman. Statistics on wreath products and generalized binomial-Stirling numbers. arXiv:`math.CO/0404354`, 2004.

[RR05] Amitai Regev and Yuval Roichman. Generalized statistics on S_n and pattern avoidance. *European J. Combin.*, 26(1):29–57, 2005.

[Sta05] Richard P. Stanley. Some remarks on sign-balanced and maj-balanced posets. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 34(4):880–902, 2005.